

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was **not** written for publication and is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 14

**MAILED**

**AUG 5 2003**

**PAT. & T.M. OFFICE  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Ex parte GREG ALAN KRANSWETTER and MARK ALAN SCHULTZ

---

Appeal No. 2003-1547  
Application 09/319,326

---

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

---

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed July 17, 2003 (Paper No. 13). It is not apparent from the record that the examiner considered the statement submitted nor notified applicants of why his submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98.


Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2003-1547  
Application 09/319,326

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:   
CRAIG FEINBERG  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

gjh

cc: JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI  
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC.  
P.O. BOX 5312  
PATENT OPERATIONS  
PRINCETON, NJ 08540